# Annual Environmental Report

2023



Easky

D0373-01

#### **CONTENTS**

#### 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2023 AER

- 1.1 Annual Statement of Measures
- 1.2 Treatment Summary
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

#### 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 EASKY WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
  - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY EASKY WWTP
  - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY EASKY WWTP -
  - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
  - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR EASKY WWTP
  - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO EASKY WWTP

#### 3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
  - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
  - 3.2.2 Summary of Overall Incidents

#### 4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
  - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
- 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
- 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
- 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 5.1 Priority Substances Assessment
- 6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF
  - 6.1 Summary of AER Contents
- 7 APPENDIX

7.1	Ambient monitoring summary

## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2023 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0373-01, Easky, in Sligo in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

#### 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

#### 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• Easky WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 500, the treatment type is 2 - Secondary treatment .

#### **1.3 ELV OVERVIEW**

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF2700D0373SW001	Easky WWTP	Treated	Non-Compliant	BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceo mg/l Suspended Solids mg/l

## 1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING

#### Assessment / Report

There are no Licence Specific Reports included in this AER.

#### 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

#### 2.1 EASKY WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

#### 2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - EASKY WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceo mg/l	6	310	55
Suspended Solids mg/l	6	205	78
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	6	16	4.02
COD-Cr mg/l	6	752	191
Total Nitrogen mg/l	6	160	37
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	870	228

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

#### **Significance of Results:**

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'.

#### 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF2700D0373SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	N/A	7	1	N/A	20	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	35	87.5	N/A	7	1	1	16	Fail
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceo mg/I	25	50	N/A	7	1	1	6.19	Fail
pH pH units	9	9	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	7.97	Pass
ortho- Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	5	6	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	1.22	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	5	6	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	0.041	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as NH4) mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	2.58	

<sup>1 –</sup> This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied 2 – For pH the WWDA specifies a range of pH 6 - 9

#### **Cause of Exceedance(s):**

Refer to the Incidents Section of the Report.

#### **Significance of Results:**

The Wastewater Treatment Plant is not compliant with the ELVs set in the WWDL.

## 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF2700D0373SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Ecological Status
Upstream	137553, 337792	RS35E010200	No	No	No	No	High
Downstream	137552, 338193	RS35E010300	No	No	No	No	High

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence for the following: Suspended Solids mg/l, BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceo mg/l.

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS at the upstream and the downstream monitoring locations. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in Ammonia and BOD, concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

Other causes of deterioration in water quality in the area are unknown.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

#### 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - EASKY WWTP

#### 2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Easky WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
ТР	257	N/A	N/A
TN	2390	N/A	N/A
COD	12201	1151	91
ss	4979	958	81
cBOD	3528	359	90

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

#### 2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Easky WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Easky WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	336
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	112
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	870
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	228
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	500
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)Note1	389
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	111
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	Yes

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

## 2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - EASKY WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
Domestic /Septic Tank Sludge	34	Volume (m3)		2.4	No	Yes	Yes

#### **3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS**

#### 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature related to the discharge(s) to water from the WWTP and network is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints				
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2023.							

#### 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Uisce Éireann but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

#### 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Abatement equipment off-line	Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP	No	Yes
Breach of ELV	WWTP biological sludge issue	No	Yes
Breach of ELV	WWTP biological sludge issue	Yes	Yes

Incident Type	Cause	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Abatement equipment off-line	Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP	No	Yes

## **3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS**

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2023	4
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2023	4
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

### 4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

#### 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

#### **4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION**

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow (chamber) where applicable	Irish Grid Ref. (outfall)	Included in Schedule of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2023 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2023 (m3)	Monitoring Status
SW003	137561,338118	Yes	Low Significance	Not Meeting Criteria	Unknown	20424	Monitored

Any TBC SWO(s) were identified as part of the on-going National SWO programme and will be updated in subsequent AER(s) once the information is confirmed.

SWO Summary			
How much wastewater discharge by metered SWOs during the year (m3)?	20424		
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?			
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes		
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	Yes		

## 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS.

#### 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Completion Date		Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
There are no Specified Improvemen	nt Programme	s for this Aggl	omeration.				

A summary of the status of any other improvements identified by under Condition 5 assessments- is included below.

#### **4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY**

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments	
No additional improvements planned at this time.					

#### 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

## **5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS**

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Included in this AER		
D0373-01-Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	No		

## **6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF**

## **6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS**

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for Consideration of a Technical Amendment/Review of the Licence?	N/A
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	N/A
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	No

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 28/03/2024

This AER has been produced by Uisce Éireann's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Eleanor Roche

Head of Environmental Regulation.

## **7 APPENDIX**

### Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

#### **Ambient Monitoring Data**

Comple Deference	Sample Reference Date Sampled	BOD	Conductivity	Dissolved Oxygen	Dissolved Oxygen	рН	Orthophosphate	Temperature	Total Ammonia	cBOD
Sample Reference		mg/L	μS/cm @ 20 °C	%* <b>*</b>	mg/L	pH units	mg/L P	°C	mg/L N	mg/L O2
Easkey U/S	30/05/2023		387	101.3	10	8.5	< 0.01	15.5	< 0.02	1.1
Easkey U/S	11/08/2023	< 1.0	235	111.05	10.76	8.4	0.01	16.9	0.06	
Easkey U/S	02/11/2023		118	109.5	12.2	7.9	< 0.01		< 0.02	< 1.0
Easkey U/S	08/12/2023		76	109.8	12.68	7.7	0.01		< 0.02	2.4
Easkey D/S	30/05/2023		380	95.6	9.43	8.6	< 0.01	19.1	0.04	< 1.0
Easkey D/S	11/08/2023	< 1.0	236	108.61	10.48	8.5	< 0.01	17.1	< 0.02	
Easkey D/S	02/11/2023		121	109.1	12.06	7.9	< 0.01		< 0.02	< 1.0
Easkey D/S	08/12/2023		1108	108.9	12.33	7.5	0.01		< 0.02	< 1.0